

Neutrino Properties

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

$\bar{\nu}$ MASS (electron based)

Those limits given below are for the square root of $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$. Limits that come from the kinematics of ${}^3\text{H}\beta^- \bar{\nu}$ decay are the square roots of the limits for $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})}$. Obtained from the measurements reported in the Listings for “ $\bar{\nu}$ Mass Squared,” below.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2 OUR EVALUATION				
< 2.05	95	¹ ASEEV	11	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
< 2.3	95	² KRAUS	05	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 5.8	95	³ PAGLIAROLI	10	ASTR SN1987A
<21.7	90	⁴ ARNABOLDI	03A	BOLO ${}^{187}\text{Re}$ β -decay
< 5.7	95	⁵ LOREDO	02	ASTR SN1987A
< 2.5	95	⁶ LOBASHEV	99	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
< 2.8	95	⁷ WEINHEIMER	99	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
< 4.35	95	⁸ BELESEV	95	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
<12.4	95	⁹ CHING	95	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
<92	95	¹⁰ HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
15 $^{+32}_{-15}$		HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
<19.6	95	KERNAN	95	ASTR SN 1987A
< 7.0	95	¹¹ STOEFFL	95	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
< 7.2	95	¹² WEINHEIMER	93	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
<11.7	95	¹³ HOLZSCHUH	92B	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
<13.1	95	¹⁴ KAWAKAMI	91	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
< 9.3	95	¹⁵ ROBERTSON	91	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
<14	95	AVIGNONE	90	ASTR SN 1987A
<16		SPERGEL	88	ASTR SN 1987A
17 to 40		¹⁶ BORIS	87	SPEC ${}^3\text{H}$ β decay

¹ ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002 (some of the earlier runs were rejected), using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The fitted value of m_{ν_e} , based on the method of Feldman and Cousins, is obtained from the upper limit of the fit for $m_{\nu_e}^2$. Previous analysis problems were resolved by careful monitoring of the tritium gas column density. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.

² KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Various sources of systematic uncertainties have been identified and quantified. The background has been reduced compared to the initial running period. A spectral anomaly at the endpoint, reported in LOBASHEV 99, was not observed.

³ PAGLIAROLI 10 is critical of the likelihood method used by LOREDO 02.

⁴ ARNABOLDI 03A *et al.* report kinematical neutrino mass limit using β -decay of ${}^{187}\text{Re}$. Bolometric AgReO₄ micro-calorimeters are used. Mass bound is substantially weaker than those derived from tritium β -decays but has different systematic uncertainties.

⁵ LOREDO 02 updates LOREDO 89.

⁶ LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELESEV 95. This limit depends on phenomenological fit parameters used to derive their best fit to $m_{\nu_e}^2$, making unambiguous interpretation difficult. See the footnote under “ $\bar{\nu}$ Mass Squared.”

⁷ WEINHEIMER 99 presents two analyses which exclude the spectral anomaly and result in an acceptable $m_{\nu_e}^2$. We report the most conservative limit, but the other is nearly the same. See the footnote under “ $\bar{\nu}$ Mass Squared.”

⁸ BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. A fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly) plus a monochromatic line 7–15 eV below the endpoint yields $m_{\nu_e}^2 = -4.1 \pm 10.9 \text{ eV}^2$, leading to this Bayesian limit.

⁹ CHING 95 quotes results previously given by SUN 93; no experimental details are given. A possible explanation for consistently negative values of $m_{\nu_e}^2$ is given.

NODE=S066

NODE=S066200

NODE=S066MAE

NODE=S066MAE

NODE=S066MAE

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=KR

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=PA

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=L3

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=LV

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=T

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=R

- 10 HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. Bayesian limit calculated from the weighted mean $m_\nu^2 = 221 \pm 4244 \text{ eV}^2$ from the two runs listed below.
- 11 STOEFL 95 (LLNL) result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the m_ν^2 errors given below but with m_ν^2 set equal to 0. The anomalous endpoint accumulation leads to a value of m_ν^2 which is negative by more than 5 standard deviations.
- 12 WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium β spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- 13 HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) result is obtained from the measurement $m_\nu^2 = -24 \pm 48 \pm 61$ (1σ errors), in eV^2 , using the PDG prescription for conversion to a limit in m_ν .
- 14 KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid. This result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the m_ν^2 limit with the errors combined in quadrature. This was also done in ROBERTSON 91, although the authors report a different procedure.
- 15 ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_ν lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive m^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.
- 16 See also comment in BORIS 87B and erratum in BORIS 88.

$\bar{\nu}$ MASS SQUARED (electron based)

Given troubling systematics which result in improbably negative estimators of $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$, in many experiments, we use only KRAUS 05 and LOBASHEV 99 for our average.

VALUE (eV ²)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
- 0.6 ± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE				
- 0.67 ± 2.53		1 ASEEV	11 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 0.6 ± 2.2 ± 2.1		2 KRAUS	05 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
- 1.9 ± 3.4 ± 2.2		3 LOBASHEV	99 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 3.7 ± 5.3 ± 2.1		4 WEINHEIMER	99 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 22 ± 4.8		5 BELESEV	95 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
129 ± 6010		6 HIDDEMANN	95 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
313 ± 5994		6 HIDDEMANN	95 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 130 ± 20 ± 15	95	7 STOEFL	95 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 31 ± 75 ± 48		8 SUN	93 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 39 ± 34 ± 15		9 WEINHEIMER	93 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 24 ± 48 ± 61		10 HOLZSCHUH	92B SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 65 ± 85 ± 65		11 KAWAKAMI	91 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay
- 147 ± 68 ± 41		12 ROBERTSON	91 SPEC	${}^3\text{H}$ β decay

1 ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002, using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The analysis does not use the two additional fit parameters (see LOBASHEV 99) for a step-like structure near the endpoint. Using only the runs where the tritium gas column density was carefully monitored the need for such parameters was eliminated. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.

2 KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Problems with significantly negative squared neutrino masses, observed in some earlier experiments, have been resolved in this work.

3 LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELESEV 95. The data were corrected for electron trapping effects in the source, eliminating the dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval. The analysis assuming a pure beta spectrum yields significantly negative fitted $m_\nu^2 \approx -(20-10) \text{ eV}^2$. This problem is attributed to a discrete spectral anomaly of about 6×10^{-11} intensity with a time-dependent energy of 5–15 eV below the endpoint. The data analysis accounts for this anomaly by introducing two extra phenomenological fit parameters resulting in a best fit of $m_\nu^2 = -1.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ eV}^2$ which is used to derive a neutrino mass limit. However, the introduction of phenomenological fit parameters which are correlated with the derived m_ν^2 limit makes unambiguous interpretation of this result difficult.

4 WEINHEIMER 99 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 93. Using a lower temperature of the frozen tritium source eliminated the dewetting of the T_2 film, which introduced a dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval in the earlier work. An indication for a spectral anomaly reported in LOBASHEV 99 has been seen, but its time dependence does not agree with LOBASHEV 99. Two analyses, which exclude the spectral anomaly either by choice of the analysis interval or by using a particular data set which does not exhibit the anomaly, result in acceptable m_ν^2 fits and

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=Q

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=WH

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=F1

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S066MAE;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S066M2E

NODE=S066M2E

NODE=S066M2E

OCCUR=2

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=KR

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=LV

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=T2

- are used to derive the neutrino mass limit published by the authors. We list the most conservative of the two.
- 5 BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. This value comes from a fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly), including the effects of an apparent peak 7–15 eV below the endpoint.
- 6 HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. They quote measurements from two data sets.
- 7 STOEFL 95 (LLNL) uses a gaseous source of molecular tritium. An anomalous pileup of events at the endpoint leads to the negative value for m_ν^2 . The authors acknowledge that “the negative value for the best fit of m_ν^2 has no physical meaning” and discuss possible explanations for this effect.
- 8 SUN 93 uses a tritiated hydrocarbon source. See also CHING 95.
- 9 WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium β spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- 10 HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) source is a monolayer of tritiated hydrocarbon.
- 11 KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid.
- 12 ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_ν lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive m_ν^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.

ν MASS (electron based)

These are measurement of m_ν (in contrast to $m_{\bar{\nu}}$, given above). The masses can be different for a Dirac neutrino in the absence of *CPT* invariance. The possible distinction between ν and $\bar{\nu}$ properties is usually ignored elsewhere in these Listings.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<460	68	YASUMI	94	CNTR ^{163}Ho decay
<225	95	SPRINGER	87	CNTR ^{163}Ho decay

ν MASS (muon based)

Limits given below are for the square root of $m_{\nu_\mu}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |\mathbf{U}_{\mu i}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$.

In some of the COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

OUR EVALUATION is based on OUR AVERAGE for the π^\pm mass and the ASSAMAGAN 96 value for the muon momentum for the π^+ decay at rest. The limit is calculated using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98 for a Gaussian distribution near a physical boundary. WARNING: since $m_{\nu_\mu}^{2(\text{eff})}$ is calculated from the differences of large numbers, it and the corresponding limits are extraordinarily sensitive to small changes in the pion mass, the decay muon momentum, and their errors. For example, the limits obtained using JECKELMANN 94, LENZ 98, and the weighted averages are 0.15, 0.29, and 0.19 MeV, respectively.

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.19 (CL = 90%) OUR EVALUATION				
<0.17	90	1 ASSAMAGAN 96	SPEC	$m_\nu^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.15	2 DOLGOV	95	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.48	3 ENQVIST	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.3	4 FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.42	4 LAM	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.50	5 ANDERHUB	82	SPEC	$m_\nu^2 = -0.14 \pm 0.20$
<0.65	90	CLARK	74	ASPK $K_{\mu 3}$ decay

1 ASSAMAGAN 96 measurement of p_μ from $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu$ at rest combined with JECKELMANN 94 Solution B pion mass yields $m_\nu^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$ with corresponding Bayesian limit listed above. If Solution A is used, $m_\nu^2 = -0.143 \pm 0.024$ MeV². Replaces ASSAMAGAN 94.

2 DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below T_{QCD} for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits.

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=Q

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=L
NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=WH

NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=G
NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=F1
NODE=S066M2E;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S066MNE

NODE=S066MNE

NODE=S066MNE

NODE=S066MNM

NODE=S066MNM

NODE=S066MNM

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=S066MNM;LINKAGE=T

NODE=S066MNM;LINKAGE=DV

³ ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time, ~ 1 s.

⁴ Assumes neutrino lifetime >1 s. For Dirac neutrinos only. See also ENQVIST 93.

⁵ ANDERHUB 82 kinematics is insensitive to the pion mass.

ν MASS (tau based)

The limits given below are the square roots of limits for $m_{\nu_\tau}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |\mathbf{U}_{\tau i}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$.

In some of the ASTR and COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 18.2	95		¹ BARATE	98F	ALEP 1991–1995 LEP runs
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 28	95		² ATHANAS	00	CLEO $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 10.6$ GeV
< 27.6	95		³ ACKERSTAFF	98T	OPAL 1990–1995 LEP runs
< 30	95	473	⁴ AMMAR	98	CLEO $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 10.6$ GeV
< 60	95		⁵ ANASTASSOV	97	CLEO $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 10.6$ GeV
< 0.37 or >22			⁶ FIELDS	97	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 68	95		⁷ SWAIN	97	THEO m_τ, τ_τ, τ partial widths
< 29.9	95		⁸ ALEXANDER	96M	OPAL 1990–1994 LEP runs
< 149			⁹ BOTTINO	96	THEO π, μ, τ leptonic decays
< 1 or >25			¹⁰ HANNESTAD	96C	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 71	95		¹¹ SOBIE	96	THEO $m_\tau, \tau_\tau, B(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau)$
< 24	95	25	¹² BUSKULIC	95H	ALEP 1991–1993 LEP runs
< 0.19			¹³ DOLGOV	95	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 3			¹⁴ SIGL	95	ASTR SN 1987A
< 0.4 or > 30			¹⁵ DODELSON	94	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 0.1 or > 50			¹⁶ KAWASAKI	94	COSM Nucleosynthesis
155–225			¹⁷ PERES	94	THEO π, K, μ, τ weak decays
< 32.6	95	113	¹⁸ CINABRO	93	CLEO $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} \approx 10.6$ GeV
< 0.3 or > 35			¹⁹ DOLGOV	93	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 0.74			²⁰ ENQVIST	93	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 31	95	19	²¹ ALBRECHT	92M	ARG $E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 9.4\text{--}10.6$ GeV
< 0.3			²² FULLER	91	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 0.5 or > 25			²³ KOLB	91	COSM Nucleosynthesis
< 0.42			²² LAM	91	COSM Nucleosynthesis

¹ BARATE 98F result based on kinematics of $2939 \tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ \nu_\tau$ and $52 \tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+(\pi^0) \nu_\tau$ decays. If possible 2.5% excited a_1 decay is included in 3-prong sample analysis, limit increases to 19.2 MeV.

² ATHANAS 00 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decays.

³ ACKERSTAFF 98T use $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi^\pm \nu_\tau$ decays to obtain a limit of 43.2 MeV (95%CL). They combine this with ALEXANDER 96M value using $\tau \rightarrow 3h^\pm \nu_\tau$ decays to obtain quoted limit.

⁴ AMMAR 98 limit comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decay modes.

⁵ ANASTASSOV 97 derive limit by comparing their m_τ measurement (which depends on m_{ν_τ}) to BAI 96 m_τ threshold measurement.

⁶ FIELDS 97 limit for a Dirac neutrino. For a Majorana neutrino the mass region < 0.93 or > 31 MeV is excluded. These bounds assume $N_\nu < 4$ from nucleosynthesis; a wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_ν upper limit.

⁷ SWAIN 97 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationships between the tau mass, lifetime, branching fractions for $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_\tau$, and $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_\tau$, and the muon mass and lifetime by assuming lepton universality and using world average values. Limit is reduced to 48 MeV when the CLEO τ mass measurement (BAEST 93) is included; see CLEO's more recent m_{ν_τ} limit (ANASTASSOV 97).

Consideration of mixing with a fourth generation heavy neutrino yields $\sin^2 \theta_L < 0.016$ (95%CL).

⁸ ALEXANDER 96M bound comes from analyses of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^- h^+ \nu_\tau$ decays.

NODE=S066MNM;LINKAGE=EQ

NODE=S066MNM;LINKAGE=C
NODE=S066MNM;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S066MNT

NODE=S066MNT

NODE=S066MNT

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=X

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=TA

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=K9

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=R9

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=N

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=F7

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=W

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=V

- 9 BOTTINO 96 assumes three generations of neutrinos with mixing, finds consistency with massless neutrinos with no mixing based on 1995 data for masses, lifetimes, and leptonic partial widths.
- 10 HANNESTAD 96C limit is on the mass of a Majorana neutrino. This bound assumes $N_\nu < 4$ from nucleosynthesis. A wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_ν , upper limit. This paper is the corrected version of HANNESTAD 96; see the erratum: HANNESTAD 96B.
- 11 SOBIE 96 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationship between the tau mass, lifetime, and leptonic branching fraction, and the muon mass and lifetime, by assuming lepton universality and using world average values.
- 12 BUSKULIC 95H bound comes from a two-dimensional fit of the visible energy and invariant mass distribution of $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi(\pi^0)\nu_\tau$ decays. Replaced by BARATE 98F.
- 13 DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below T_{QCD} for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits. DOLGOV 96 argues that a possible window near 20 MeV is excluded.
- 14 SIGL 95 exclude massive Dirac or Majorana neutrinos with lifetimes between 10^{-3} and 10^8 seconds if the decay products are predominantly γ or $e^+ e^-$.
- 15 DODELSON 94 calculate constraints on ν_τ mass and lifetime from nucleosynthesis for 4 generic decay modes. Limits depend strongly on decay mode. Quoted limit is valid for all decay modes of Majorana neutrinos with lifetime greater than about 300 s. For Dirac neutrinos limits change to < 0.3 or > 33 .
- 16 KAWASAKI 94 excluded region is for Majorana neutrino with lifetime > 1000 s. Other limits are given as a function of ν_τ lifetime for decays of the type $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\mu \phi$ where ϕ is a Nambu-Goldstone boson.
- 17 PERES 94 used PDG 92 values for parameters to obtain a value consistent with mixing. Reexamination by BOTTINO 96 which included radiative corrections and 1995 PDG parameters resulted in two allowed regions, $m_3 < 70$ MeV and 140 MeV $m_3 < 149$ MeV.
- 18 CINABRO 93 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decay modes.
- 19 DOLGOV 93 assumes neutrino lifetime > 100 s. For Majorana neutrinos, the low mass limit is 0.5 MeV. KAWANO 92 points out that these bounds can be overcome for a Dirac neutrino if it possesses a magnetic moment. See also DOLGOV 96.
- 20 ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time, ~ 1 s.
- 21 ALBRECHT 92M reports measurement of a slightly lower τ mass, which has the effect of reducing the ν_τ mass reported in ALBRECHT 88B. Bound is from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_\tau$ mode.
- 22 Assumes neutrino lifetime > 1 s. For Dirac neutrinos. See also ENQVIST 93.
- 23 KOLB 91 exclusion region is for Dirac neutrino with lifetime > 1 s; other limits are given.

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=X1

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=HC

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=U

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=DV

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=T

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=S

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=R

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=X2

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=CR

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=EQ

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=MA

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=S066MNT;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=S066240

NODE=S066MNS

NODE=S066MNS

NODE=S066MNS

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

SUM OF THE NEUTRINO MASSES, m_{tot}

(Defined in the above note), of effectively stable neutrinos (i.e., those with mean lives greater than or equal to the age of the universe). These papers assumed Dirac neutrinos. When necessary, we have generalized the results reported so they apply to m_{tot} . For other limits, see SZALAY 76, VYSOTSKY 77, BERNSTEIN 81, FREESE 84, SCHRAMM 84, and COWSIK 85.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 0.24	68	¹ MORESCO	12	COSM
< 0.60	95	² RIEMER-SOR...12		COSM
< 0.29	95	³ XIA	12	COSM
< 0.81	95	⁴ SAITO	11	COSM SDSS
< 0.44	95	⁵ HANNESTAD	10	COSM
< 0.6	95	⁶ SEKIGUCHI	10	COSM
< 0.28	95	⁷ THOMAS	10	COSM
< 1.1		⁸ ICHIKI	09	COSM
< 1.3	95	⁹ KOMATSU	09	COSM WMAP
< 1.2		¹⁰ TERENO	09	COSM
< 0.33		¹¹ VIKHLININ	09	COSM
< 0.28		¹² BERNARDIS	08	COSM
< 0.17–2.3		¹³ FOGLI	07	COSM
< 0.42	95	¹⁴ KRISTIANSEN	07	COSM
< 0.63–2.2		¹⁵ ZUNCKEL	07	COSM

< 0.24	95	16	CIRELLI	06	COSM
< 0.62	95	17	HANNESTAD	06	COSM
< 1.2		18	SANCHEZ	06	COSM
< 0.17	95	16	SELJAK	06	COSM
< 2.0	95	19	ICHIKAWA	05	COSM
< 0.75		20	BARGER	04	COSM
< 1.0		21	CROTTY	04	COSM
< 0.7		22	SPERGEL	03	COSM WMAP
< 0.9		23	LEWIS	02	COSM
< 4.2		24	WANG	02	COSM CMB
< 2.7		25	FUKUGITA	00	COSM
< 5.5		26	CROFT	99	ASTR Ly α power spec
<180			SZALAY	74	COSM
<132			COWSIK	72	COSM
<280			MARX	72	COSM
<400			GERSHTEIN	66	COSM

- 1 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from observational Hubble parameter data with seven-year WMAP data and the most recent estimate of H_0 .
- 2 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the WiggleZ high redshift galaxy sample when combined with seven-year WMAP data. Limit is improved to < 0.29 eV when further combined with a prior on the Hubble parameter and baryon acoustic oscillations.
- 3 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CFHTLS combined with seven-year WMAP data and a prior on the Hubble parameter. Limit is relaxed to 0.41 eV when small scales affected by non-linearities are removed.
- 4 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the five-year WMAP data.
- 5 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the 7-year WMAP data including SDSS and HST data. Limit relaxes to 1.19 eV when CMB data is used alone. Supersedes HANNESTAD 06.
- 6 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from a combination of CMB data, a recent measurement of H_0 (SHOES), and baryon acoustic oscillation data from SDSS.
- 7 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from SDSS MegaZ LRG DR7 galaxy clustering data combined with CMB, HST, supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation data. Limit relaxes to 0.47 eV when the equation of state parameter, $w \neq 1$.
- 8 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to 0.54 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Assumes Λ CDM model.
- 9 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from five-year WMAP data. Limit improves to 0.67 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Limits quoted assume the Λ CDM model. Supersedes SPERGEL 07.
- 10 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to $0.03 < \Sigma m_\nu < 0.54$ eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. The slight preference for massive neutrinos at the two-sigma level disappears when systematic errors are taken into account. Assumes Λ CDM model.
- 11 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent Chandra X-ray observations of galaxy clusters when combined with CMB, supernovae, and baryon acoustic oscillation measurements. Assumes flat universe and constant dark-energy equation of state, w .
- 12 Constraints the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and SOSS LRG power spectrum data along with bias mass relations from SDSS, DEEP2, and Lyman-Break Galaxies. It assumes Λ CDM model. Limit degrades to 0.59 eV in a more general wCDM model.
- 13 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from neutrino oscillation experiments and cosmological data. The most conservative limit uses only WMAP three-year data, while the most stringent limit includes CMB, large-scale structure, supernova, and Lyman-alpha data.
- 14 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, SN1a, and baryon acoustic oscillation data. The limit relaxes to 1.75 when WMAP data alone is used with no prior. Paper shows results with several combinations of data sets. Supersedes KRISTIANSEN 06.
- 15 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the large scale structure data. The most conservative limit is obtained when generic initial conditions are allowed.
- 16 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, Lyman-alpha forest, and SN1a data.
- 17 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and large scale structure data. See also GOOBAR 06. Superseded by HANNESTAD 10.
- 18 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the final 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey.
- 19 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB experiments alone, assuming Λ CDM Universe. FUKUGITA 06 show that this result is unchanged by the 3-year WMAP data.
- 20 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and 27 other CMB experiments and measurements by the HST Key project.
- 21 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and ACBAR.

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=MO

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=RI

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=XI

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=SI

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=HN

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=SE

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=TH

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=IH

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=KO

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=TE

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=VI

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=FO

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=KI

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=ZU

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=CI

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=HA

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=SA

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=IC

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=CR

The limit is strengthened to 0.6 eV when measurements by the HST Key project and supernovae data are included.

- 22 Constrains the fractional contribution of neutrinos to the total matter density in the Universe from WMAP data combined with other CMB measurements, the 2dFGRS data, and Lyman α data. The limit does not noticeably change if the Lyman α data are not used.
- 23 LEWIS 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB, HST Key project, 2dF galaxy redshift survey, supernovae type Ia, and BBN.
- 24 WANG 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB and other cosmological data sets such as galaxy clustering and the Lyman α forest.
- 25 FUKUGITA 00 is a limit on neutrino masses from structure formation. The constraint is based on the clustering scale σ_8 and the COBE normalization and leads to a conservative limit of 0.9 eV assuming 3 nearly degenerate neutrinos. The quoted limit is on the sum of the light neutrino masses.
- 26 CROFT 99 result based on the power spectrum of the Ly α forest. If $\Omega_{\text{matter}} < 0.5$, the limit is improved to $m_\nu < 2.4 (\Omega_{\text{matter}}/0.17-1)$ eV.

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=PG

Limits on MASSES of Light Stable Right-Handed ν (with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<100–200	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM Dirac ν
<200–2000	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM Majorana ν

¹ Depending on interaction strength G_R where $G_R < G_F$.

Limits on MASSES of Heavy Stable Right-Handed ν (with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
> 10	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM $G_R/G_F < 0.1$
>100	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM $G_R/G_F < 0.01$

¹ These results apply to heavy Majorana neutrinos and are summarized by the equation: $m_\nu > 1.2 \text{ GeV } (G_F/G_R)$. The bound saturates, and if G_R is too small no mass range is allowed.

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=LW

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=WG

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=FK

NODE=S066MNS;LINKAGE=CF

NODE=S066MSW

NODE=S066MSW

OCCUR=2

NODE=S066MSW;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S066MSH

NODE=S066MSH

OCCUR=2

NODE=S066MSH;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S066CHR

NODE=S066CHR

OCCUR=2

NODE=S066CHR;LINKAGE=GN

NODE=S066CHR;LINKAGE=RQ

NODE=S066CHR;LINKAGE=RP

NODE=S066CHR;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=S066CHR;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=S066CHR;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S066CHR;LINKAGE=AF

ν CHARGE

VALUE (units: electron charge)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<3.7 × 10 ⁻¹²	90	¹ GNINENKO	07	RVUE Nuclear reactor
<2 × 10 ⁻¹⁴		² RAFFELT	99	ASTR Red giant luminosity
<6 × 10 ⁻¹⁴		³ RAFFELT	99	ASTR Solar cooling
<4 × 10 ⁻⁴		⁴ BABU	94	RVUE BEBC beam dump
<3 × 10 ⁻⁴		⁵ DAVIDSON	91	RVUE SLAC e ⁻ beam dump
<2 × 10 ⁻¹⁵		⁶ BARBIELLINI	87	ASTR SN 1987A
<1 × 10 ⁻¹³		⁷ BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR Solar energy losses

¹ GNINENKO 07 use limit on $\bar{\nu}_e$ magnetic moment from LIGO 03B to derive this result. The limit is considerably weaker than the limits on the charge of ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ from various astrophysics considerations.

² This RAFFELT 99 limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants.

³ This RAFFELT 99 limit is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun, and applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the sun.

⁴ BABU 94 use COOPER-SARKAR 92 limit on ν magnetic moment to derive quoted result. It applies to ν_τ .

⁵ DAVIDSON 91 use data from early SLAC electron beam dump experiment to derive charge limit as a function of neutrino mass. It applies to ν_τ .

⁶ Exact BARBIELLINI 87 limit depends on assumptions about the intergalactic or galactic magnetic fields and about the direct distance and time through the field. It applies to ν_e .

⁷ The limit applies to all flavors.

ν (MEAN LIFE) / MASS

Measures $\left[\sum |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j \right]^{-1}$, where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. Some of the limits constrain the radiative decay and are based on the limit of the corresponding photon flux. Other apply to the decay of a heavier neutrino into the lighter one and a Majoron or other invisible particle. Many of these limits apply to any ν within the indicated mass range.

Limits on the radiative decay are either directly based on the limits of the corresponding photon flux, or are derived from the limits on the neutrino magnetic moments. In the later case the transition rate for $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_j + \gamma$

is constrained by $\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{\tau_{ij}} = \frac{(m_i^2 - m_j^2)^3}{m_i^3} \mu_{ij}^2$, where μ_{ij} is the neutrino transition moment in the mass eigenstates basis. Typically, the limits on lifetime based on the magnetic moments are many orders of magnitude more restrictive than limits based on the nonobservation of photons.

VALUE (s/eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	NODE=S066TMR;CHECK LIMITS
> 15.4	90	1 KRAKAUER	CNTR	$\nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu$ at LAMPF	OCCUR=2
> 7 $\times 10^9$		2 RAFFELT	ASTR		
> 300	90	3 REINES	CNTR	$\bar{\nu}_e$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
> $10^5 - 10^{10}$	95	4 CECCHINI	ASTR	$\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1$ radiative decay	
	90	5 MIRIZZI	CMB	radiative decay	
	90	6 MIRIZZI	CIB	radiative decay	
	7 WONG	CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$		OCCUR=2
> 0.11	90	8 XIN	CNTR	Reactor ν_e	
	90	9 XIN	CNTR	Reactor ν_e	OCCUR=2
> 0.004	90	10 AHARMIM	SNO	quasidegen. ν masses	
> 4.4×10^{-5}	90	10 AHARMIM	SNO	hierarchical ν masses	OCCUR=2
$\gtrsim 100$	95	11 CECCHINI	ASTR	Radiative decay for ν mass > 0.01 eV	
> 0.067	90	12 EGUCHI	KLND	quasidegen. ν masses	
> 1.1×10^{-3}	90	12 EGUCHI	KLND	hierarchical ν masses	OCCUR=2
> 8.7×10^{-5}	99	13 BANDYOPA...	FIT	nonradiative decay	
≥ 4200	90	14 DERBIN	CNTR	Solar $p p$ and Be ν	
> 2.8×10^{-5}	99	15 JOSHIPURA	02B	nonradiative decay	
		16 DOLGOV	FIT		
		17 BILLER	ASTR	$m_\nu = 0.05-1$ eV	
> 2.8×10^{15}		18,19 BLUDMAN	ASTR	$m_\nu < 50$ eV	
none $10^{-12} - 5 \times 10^4$		20 DODELSON	ASTR	$m_\nu = 1-300$ keV	
$< 10^{-12}$ or $> 5 \times 10^4$		20 DODELSON	ASTR	$m_\nu = 1-300$ keV	OCCUR=2
		21 GRANEK	COSM	Decaying L^0	
> 6.4	90	22 KRAKAUER	CNTR	ν_e at LAMPF	
> 1.1×10^{15}		23 WALKER	ASTR	$m_\nu = 0.03 - \sim 2$ MeV	
> 6.3×10^{15}		19,24 CHUPP	ASTR	$m_\nu < 20$ eV	
> 1.7×10^{15}		19 KOLB	ASTR	$m_\nu < 20$ eV	
		25 RAFFELT	RVUE	$\bar{\nu}$ (Dirac, Majorana)	
		26 RAFFELT	ASTR		
> 8.3×10^{14}		27 VONFEILIT...	ASTR		
> 22	68	28 OBERAUER	87	$\bar{\nu}_R$ (Dirac)	
> 38	68	28 OBERAUER	87	$\bar{\nu}$ (Majorana)	OCCUR=2
> 59	68	28 OBERAUER	87	$\bar{\nu}_L$ (Dirac)	OCCUR=3
> 30	68	KETOV	CNTR	$\bar{\nu}$ (Dirac)	
> 20	68	KETOV	CNTR	$\bar{\nu}$ (Majorana)	OCCUR=2
		29 BINETRUY	COSM	$m_\nu \sim 1$ MeV	
> 0.11	90	30 FRANK	CNTR	$\nu \bar{\nu}$ LAMPF	
> 2×10^{21}		31 STECKER	ASTR	$m_\nu = 10-100$ eV	
> 1.0×10^{-2}	90	30 BLIETSCHAU	78	HLBC ν_μ , CERN GGM	
> 1.7×10^{-2}	90	30 BLIETSCHAU	78	HLBC $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, CERN GGM	OCCUR=2
< 3×10^{-11}		32 FALK	ASTR	$m_\nu < 10$ MeV	
> 2.2×10^{-3}	90	30 BARNES	77	DBC ν , ANL 12-ft	
		33 COWSIK	ASTR		
> 3×10^{-3}	90	30 BELLOTTI	76	HLBC ν , CERN GGM	
> 1.3×10^{-2}	90	30 BELLOTTI	76	HLBC $\bar{\nu}$, CERN GGM	OCCUR=2

- ¹KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit $\tau/m_{\nu_1} > (0.75a^2 + 21.65a + 26.3) \text{ s/eV}$, where a is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the neutrino decay defined as $dN_\gamma/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta)$. The parameter $a=0$ for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for $a = -1$).
- ²RAFFELT 85 limit on the radiative decay is from solar x- and γ -ray fluxes. Limit depends on ν flux from $p\bar{p}$, now established from GALLEX and SAGE to be > 0.5 of expectation.
- ³REINES 74 looked for ν of nonzero mass decaying radiatively to a neutral of lesser mass $+ \gamma$. Used liquid scintillator detector near fission reactor. Finds lab lifetime $6 \times 10^7 \text{ s}$ or more. Above value of (mean life)/mass assumes average effective neutrino energy of 0.2 MeV . To obtain the limit $6 \times 10^7 \text{ s}$ REINES 74 assumed that the full $\bar{\nu}_e$ reactor flux could be responsible for yielding decays with photon energies in the interval $0.1 \text{ MeV} - 0.5 \text{ MeV}$. This represents some overestimate so their lower limit is an over-estimate of the lab lifetime (VOGEL 84). If so, OBERAUER 87 may be comparable or better.
- ⁴CECCHINI 11 search for radiative decays of solar neutrinos into visible photons during the 2006 total solar eclipse. The range of (mean life)/mass values corresponds to a range of ν_1 masses between 10^{-4} and 0.1 eV .
- ⁵MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the maximum allowed distortion of the CMB spectrum as measured by the COBE/FIRAS. For the decay $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1$ the lifetime limit is $\lesssim 4 \times 10^{20} \text{ s}$ for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14 \text{ eV}$. For transition with the $|\Delta m_{31}|$ mass difference the lifetime limit is $\sim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ s}$ for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14 \text{ eV}$ and $\sim 5 \times 10^{20} \text{ s}$ for $m_{min} \gtrsim 0.14 \text{ eV}$.
- ⁶MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the cosmic infrared background (CIB) using the Spitzer Observatory data. For transition with the $|\Delta m_{31}|$ mass difference they obtain the lifetime limit $\sim 10^{20} \text{ s}$ for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14 \text{ eV}$.
- ⁷WONG 07 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment together with the assumed experimental value of $\Delta m_{13}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ to obtain $\tau_{13}/m_1^3 > 3.2 \times 10^{27} \text{ s/eV}^3$ for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for τ_{23} and τ_{21} .
- ⁸XIN 05 search for the γ from radiative decay of ν_e produced by the electron capture on ^{51}Cr . No events were seen and the limit on τ/m_ν was derived. This is a weaker limit on the decay of ν_e than KRAKAUER 91.
- ⁹XIN 05 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment of ν_e together with the assumed experimental value of $\Delta m_{1,3}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ to obtain $\tau_{13}/m_1^3 > 1 \times 10^{23} \text{ s/eV}^3$ for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for τ_{23} and τ_{21} . Again, this limit is specific for ν_e .
- ¹⁰AHARMIM 04 obtained these results from the solar $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the SNO measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- ¹¹CECCHINI 04 obtained this bound through the observations performed on the occasion of the 21 June 2001 total solar eclipse, looking for visible photons from radiative decays of solar neutrinos. Limit is a τ/m_{ν_2} in $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1 \gamma$. Limit ranges from ~ 100 to 10^7 s/eV for $0.01 < m_{\nu_1} < 0.1 \text{ eV}$.
- ¹²EGUCHI 04 obtained these results from the solar $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the KamLAND measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- ¹³The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by BANDYOPADHYAY 03 is for ν_2 . They obtained this result using the following solar-neutrino data: total rates measured in Cl and Ga experiments, the Super-Kamiokande's zenith-angle spectra, and SNO's day and night spectra. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative Majoron emission process, $\nu_2 \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_1 + J$, or through nonradiative process with all the final state particles being sterile. The best fit is obtained in the region of the LMA solution.
- ¹⁴DERBIN 02B (also BACK 03B) obtained this bound for the radiative decay from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). The laboratory gamma spectrum is given as $dN_\gamma/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + \alpha\cos\theta)$ with $\alpha=0$ for a Majorana neutrino, and α varying to -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The listed bound is for the case of $\alpha=0$. The most conservative bound $1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ s eV}^{-1}$ is obtained for the case of $\alpha=-1$.
- ¹⁵The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by JOSHIPURA 02B is for ν_2 . They obtained this result from the total rates measured in all solar neutrino experiments. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative process like Majoron emission decay, $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu'_1 + J$ where ν'_1 state is sterile. The exact limit depends on the specific solution of the solar neutrino problem. The quoted limit is for the LMA solution.
- ¹⁶DOLGOV 99 places limits in the (Majorana) τ -associated ν mass-lifetime plane based on nucleosynthesis. Results would be considerably modified if neutrino oscillations exist.

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=KR

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=R

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=CC

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=MR

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=WO

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=XI

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=XN

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=CE

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=EG

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=BY

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=TD

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=TJ

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=GD

- 17 BILLER 98 use the observed TeV γ -ray spectra to set limits on the mean life of any radiatively decaying neutrino between 0.05 and 1 eV. Curve shows $\tau_\nu/B_\gamma > 0.15 \times 10^{21}$ s at 0.05 eV, $> 1.2 \times 10^{21}$ s at 0.17 eV, $> 3 \times 10^{21}$ s at 1 eV, where B_γ is the branching ratio to photons.
- 18 BLUDMAN 92 sets additional limits by this method for higher mass ranges. Cosmological limits are also obtained.
- 19 Limit on the radiative decay based on nonobservation of γ 's in coincidence with ν 's from SN 1987A.
- 20 DODELSON 92 range is for wrong-helicity keV mass Dirac ν 's from the core of neutron star in SN 1987A decaying to ν 's that would have interacted in KAM2 or IMB detectors.
- 21 GRANEK 91 considers heavy neutrino decays to $\gamma\nu_L$ and $3\nu_L$, where $m_{\nu_L} < 100$ keV. Lifetime is calculated as a function of heavy neutrino mass, branching ratio into $\gamma\nu_L$, and m_{ν_L} .
- 22 KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit for ν_e , $\tau/m_\nu > (0.3a^2 + 9.8a + 15.9)$ s/eV, where a is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the radiative neutrino decay defined as $dN_\gamma/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta)$ $a=0$ for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for $a = -1$).
- 23 WALKER 90 uses SN 1987A γ flux limits after 289 days.
- 24 CHUPP 89 should be multiplied by a branching ratio (about 1) and a detection efficiency (about 1/4), and pertains to radiative decay of any neutrino to a lighter or sterile neutrino.
- 25 RAFFELT 89 uses KYULDJIEV 84 to obtain $\tau m^3 > 3 \times 10^{18}$ s eV 3 (based on $\bar{\nu}_e e^-$ cross sections). The bound for the radiative decay is not valid if electric and magnetic transition moments are equal for Dirac neutrinos.
- 26 RAFFELT 89B analyze stellar evolution and exclude the region $3 \times 10^{12} < \tau m^3 < 3 \times 10^{21}$ s eV 3 .
- 27 Model-dependent theoretical analysis of SN 1987A neutrinos. Quoted limit is for $[\sum_j |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j]^{-1}$, where $\ell=\mu$, τ . Limit is 3.3×10^{14} s/eV for $\ell=e$.
- 28 OBERAUER 87 looks for photons and e^+e^- pairs from radiative decays of reactor neutrinos.
- 29 BINETRUY 84 finds $\tau < 10^8$ s for neutrinos in a radiation-dominated universe.
- 30 These experiments look for $\nu_k \rightarrow \nu_j \gamma$ or $\bar{\nu}_k \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_j \gamma$.
- 31 STECKER 80 limit based on UV background; result given is $\tau > 4 \times 10^{22}$ s at $m_\nu=20$ eV.
- 32 FALK 78 finds lifetime constraints based on supernova energetics.
- 33 COWSIK 77 considers variety of scenarios. For neutrinos produced in the big bang, present limits on optical photon flux require $\tau > 10^{23}$ s for $m_\nu \sim 1$ eV. See also COWSIK 79 and GOLDMAN 79.

ν MAGNETIC MOMENT

The coupling of neutrinos to an electromagnetic field is characterized by a 3×3 matrix λ of the magnetic (μ) and electric (d) dipole moments ($\lambda = \mu - id$). For Majorana neutrinos the matrix λ is antisymmetric and only transition moments are allowed, while for Dirac neutrinos λ is a general 3×3 matrix. In the standard electroweak theory extended to include neutrino masses (see FUJIKAWA 80) $\mu_\nu = 3eG_F m_\nu/(8\pi^2\sqrt{2}) = 3.2 \times 10^{-19} (m_\nu/\text{eV}) \mu_B$, i.e. it is unobservably small given the known small neutrino masses. In more general models there is no longer a proportionality between neutrino mass and its magnetic moment, even though only massive neutrinos have nonvanishing magnetic moments without fine tuning.

Laboratory bounds on λ are obtained via elastic ν -e scattering, where the scattered neutrino is not observed. The combinations of matrix elements of λ that are constrained by various experiments depend on the initial neutrino flavor and on its propagation between source and detector (e.g., solar ν_e and reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ do not constrain the same combinations). The listings below therefore identify the initial neutrino flavor.

Other limits, e.g. from various stellar cooling processes, apply to all neutrino flavors. Analogous flavor independent, but weaker, limits are obtained from the analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ collider experiments.

VALUE ($10^{-10} \mu_B$)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.32	90	¹ BEDA	10	CNTR Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$
< 6.8	90	² AUERBACH	01	$\nu_e e$, $\nu_\mu e$ scattering
< 3900	90	³ SCHWIENHO...01	DONU	$\nu_\tau e^- \rightarrow \nu_\tau e^-$

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=B1

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=LR

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=DL

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=GR

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=LQ

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=LU

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=FA

NODE=S066TMR;LINKAGE=LX

NODE=S066MGM

NODE=S066MGM

NODE=S066MGM

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 2.2	90	⁴ DENIZ	10	TEXO	Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$
< 0.011–0.027		⁵ KUZNETSOV	09	ASTR	$\nu_L \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN1987A
< 0.54	90	⁶ ARPESELLA	08A	BORX	Solar ν spectrum shape
< 0.58	90	⁷ BEDA	07	CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$
< 0.74	90	⁸ WONG	07	CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$
< 0.9	90	⁹ DARAKTCH...	05		Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$
< 130	90	¹⁰ XIN	05	CNTR	Reactor ν_e
< 37	95	¹¹ GRIFOLS	04	FIT	Solar 8B ν (SNO NC)
< 3.6	90	¹² LIU	04	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum shape
< 1.1	90	¹³ LIU	04	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum shape (LMA region)
< 5.5	90	¹⁴ BACK	03B	CNTR	Solar $p\bar{p}$ and Be ν
< 1.0	90	¹⁵ DARAKTCH...	03		Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$
< 1.3	90	¹⁶ LI	03B	CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$
< 2	90	¹⁷ GRIMUS	02	FIT	solar + reactor (Majorna ν)
<80000	90	¹⁸ TANIMOTO	00	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$
< 0.01–0.04		¹⁹ AYALA	99	ASTR	$\nu_L \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN 1987A
< 1.5	90	²⁰ BEACOM	99	SKAM	ν spectrum shape
< 0.03		²¹ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
< 4		²² RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Solar cooling
<44000	90	ABREU	97J	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ at LEP
<33000	90	²³ ACCIARRI	97Q	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ at LEP
< 0.62		²⁴ ELMFORS	97	COSM	Depolarization in early universe plasma
<27000	95	²⁵ ESCRIBANO	97	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu})$ at LEP
< 30	90	VILAIN	95B	CHM2	$\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$
<55000	90	GOULD	94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ at LEP
< 1.9	95	²⁶ DERBIN	93	CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu} e \rightarrow \bar{\nu} e$
< 5400	90	²⁷ COOPER...	92	BEB	$\nu_\tau e^- \rightarrow \nu_\tau e^-$
< 2.4	90	²⁸ VIDYAKIN	92	CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu} e \rightarrow \bar{\nu} e$
<56000	90	DESHPANDE	91	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$
< 100	95	²⁹ DORENBOS...	91	CHRM	$\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$
< 8.5	90	AHRENS	90	CNTR	$\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$
< 10.8	90	³⁰ KRAKAUER	90	CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
< 7.4	90	³⁰ KRAKAUER	90	CNTR	LAMPF $(\nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu) e$ elast.
< 0.02		³¹ RAFFELT	90	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
< 0.1		³² RAFFELT	89B	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
<40000	90	³³ FUKUGITA	88	COSM	Primordial magn. fields
$\leq .3$		³⁴ GROTCHE	88	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$
< 0.11		³² RAFFELT	88B	ASTR	He burning stars
< 0.0006		³² FUKUGITA	87	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
		³⁵ NUSSINOV	87	ASTR	Cosmic EM backgrounds
< 0.1–0.2		MORGAN	81	COSM	4He abundance
< 0.85		BEG	78	ASTR	Stellar plasmons
< 0.6		³⁶ SUTHERLAND	76	ASTR	Red giants + degenerate dwarfs
< 81		³⁷ KIM	74	RVUE	$\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu e$
< 1		BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	Solar cooling
< 14		COWAN	57	CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu}$

¹BEDA 10 report $\bar{\nu}_e e^-$ scattering results, using the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant and a shielded Ge detector. The recoil electron spectrum is analyzed between 2.9 and 45 keV. Supersedes BEDA 07. This is the most stringent limit on the magnetic moment of reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$.

²AUERBACH 01 limit is based on the LSND ν_e and ν_μ electron scattering measurements. The limit is slightly more stringent than KRAKAUER 90.

³SCHWIENHORST 01 quote an experimental sensitivity of 4.9×10^{-7} .

⁴DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\bar{\nu}_e e$ scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using CsI(Tl) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on $\bar{\nu}_e$ magnetic moment.

⁵KUZNETSOV 09 obtain a limit on the flavor averaged magnetic moment of Dirac neutrinos from the time averaged neutrino signal of SN1987A. Improves and supersedes the analysis of BARBIERI 88 and AYALA 99.

⁶ARPESELLA 08A obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Borexino 192 live days of solar neutrino data.

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=BD

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=SW

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=KU

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=AR

- 7 BEDA 07 performed search for electromagnetic $\bar{\nu}_e$ -e scattering at Kalininskaya nuclear reactor. A Ge detector with active and passive shield was used and the electron recoil spectrum between 3.0 and 61.3 keV analyzed. Superseded by BEDA 10.
- 8 WONG 07 performed search for non-standard $\bar{\nu}_e$ -e scattering at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield is used. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment of reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$. Supersedes LI 03B.
- 9 DARAKTCHIEVA 05 present the final analysis of the search for non-standard $\bar{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction of both the kinetic energy above 700 keV and scattering angle of the recoil electron, by use of TPC. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment. Supersedes DARAKTCHIEVA 03.
- 10 XIN 05 evaluated the ν_e flux at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor and searched for non-standard ν_e -e scattering. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield was used. This laboratory limit on magnetic moment is considerably less stringent than the limits for reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$, but is specific to ν_e .
- 11 GRIFOLS 04 obtained this bound using the SNO data of the solar ^8B neutrino flux measured with deuteron breakup. This bound applies to $\mu_{\text{eff}} = (\mu_{21}^2 + \mu_{22}^2 + \mu_{23}^2)^{1/2}$.
- 12 LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 days of solar neutrino data. Neutrinos are assumed to have only diagonal magnetic moments, $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$. This limit corresponds to the oscillation parameters in the vacuum oscillation region.
- 13 LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 live-day solar neutrino data, by limiting the oscillation parameter region in the LMA region allowed by solar neutrino experiments plus KamLAND. $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$ is assumed. In the LMA region, the same limit would be obtained even if neutrinos have off-diagonal magnetic moments.
- 14 BACK 03B obtained this bound from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). Standard Solar Model flux was assumed. This μ_ν can be different from the reactor μ_ν in certain oscillation scenarios (see BEACOM 99).
- 15 DARAKTCHIEVA 03 searched for non-standard $\bar{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction by use of TPC. Superseded by DARAKTCHIEVA 05.
- 16 LI 03B used Ge detector in active shield near nuclear reactor to test for nonstandard $\bar{\nu}_e$ -e scattering.
- 17 GRIMUS 02 obtain stringent bounds on all Majorana neutrino transition moments from a simultaneous fit of LMA-MSW oscillation parameters and transition moments to global solar neutrino data + reactor data. Using only solar neutrino data, a 90% CL bound of $6.3 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ is obtained.
- 18 TANIMOTO 00 combined $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ data from VENUS, TOPAZ, and AMY.
- 19 AYALA 99 improves the limit of BARBIERI 88.
- 20 BEACOM 99 obtain the limit using the shape, but not the absolute magnitude which is affected by oscillations, of the solar neutrino spectrum obtained by Superkamiokande (825 days). This μ_ν can be different from the reactor μ_ν in certain oscillation scenarios.
- 21 RAFFELT 99 is an update of RAFFELT 90. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (< 5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole moments and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- 22 RAFFELT 99 is essentially an update of BERNSTEIN 63, but is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (< 1 keV) to be emitted from the Sun. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- 23 ACCIARRI 97Q result applies to both direct and transition magnetic moments and for $q^2=0$.
- 24 ELMFORS 97 calculate the rate of depolarization in a plasma for neutrinos with a magnetic moment and use the constraints from a big-bang nucleosynthesis on additional degrees of freedom.
- 25 Applies to absolute value of magnetic moment.
- 26 DERBIN 93 determine the cross section for 0.6–2.0 MeV electron energy as $(1.28 \pm 0.63) \times \sigma_{\text{weak}}$. However, the (reactor on – reactor off)/(reactor off) is only $\sim 1/100$.
- 27 COOPER-SARKAR 92 assume $f_{D_s}/f_\pi = 2$ and D_s , \bar{D}_s production cross section = $2.6 \mu b$ to calculate ν flux.
- 28 VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e \bar{\nu}_e$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$ as input.
- 29 DORENBOSCH 91 corrects an incorrect statement in DORENBOSCH 89 that the ν magnetic moment is $< 1 \times 10^{-9}$ at the 95%CL. DORENBOSCH 89 measures both ν_μ e and $\bar{\nu} e$ elastic scattering and assume $\mu(\nu) = \mu(\bar{\nu})$.
- 30 KRAKAUER 90 experiment fully reported in ALLEN 93.
- 31 RAFFELT 90 limit applies for a diagonal magnetic moment of a Dirac neutrino, or for a transition magnetic moment of a Majorana neutrino. In the latter case, the same analysis gives $< 1.4 \times 10^{-12}$. Limit at 95%CL obtained from δM_C .
- 32 Significant dependence on details of stellar models.
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=BE
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=WO
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=DR
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=XI
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=GI
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=LI
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=LU
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=BK
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=DA
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=LM
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=GR
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=TM
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=AY
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=BC
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=RC
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=RD
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=J
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=EF
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=ES
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=D4
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=G
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=D1
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=DH
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=M
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=E1
- NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=C

- 33 FUKUGITA 88 find magnetic dipole moments of any two neutrino species are bounded by $\mu < 10^{-16}$ [$10^{-9} G/B_0$] where B_0 is the present-day intergalactic field strength.
- 34 GROTCHE 88 combined data from MAC, ASP, CELLO, and Mark J.
- 35 For $m_\nu = 8\text{--}200$ eV. NUSSINOV 87 examines transition magnetic moments for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ and obtain $< 3 \times 10^{-15}$ for $m_\nu > 16$ eV and $< 6 \times 10^{-14}$ for $m_\nu > 4$ eV.
- 36 We obtain above limit from SUTHERLAND 76 using their limit $f < 1/3$.
- 37 KIM 74 is a theoretical analysis of $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ reaction data.

NEUTRINO CHARGE RADIUS SQUARED

We report limits on the so-called neutrino charge radius squared. While the straight-forward definition of a neutrino charge radius has been proven to be gauge-dependent and, hence, unphysical (LEE 77C), there have been recent attempts to define a physically observable neutrino charge radius (BERNABEU 00, BERNABEU 02). The issue is still controversial (FUJIKAWA 03, BERNABEU 03). A more general interpretation of the experimental results is that they are limits on certain nonstandard contributions to neutrino scattering.

VALUE (10^{-32} cm^2)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-2.1 to 3.3	90	¹ DENIZ	10 TEXO	Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ e
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.53 to 0.68	90	² HIRSCH	03	ν_μ e scat.
-8.2 to 9.9	90	³ HIRSCH	03	anomalous $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$
-2.97 to 4.14	90	⁴ AUERBACH	01 LSND	ν_e e $\rightarrow \nu_e$ e
-0.6 to 0.6	90	VILAIN	95B CHM2	ν_μ e elastic scat.
0.9 ± 2.7		ALLEN	93 CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
< 2.3	95	MOURAO	92 ASTR	HOME/KAM2 ν rates
< 7.3	90	⁵ VIDYAKIN	92 CNTR	Reactor $\bar{\nu} e \rightarrow \bar{\nu} e$
1.1 ± 2.3		ALLEN	91 CNTR	Repl. by ALLEN 93
-1.1 ± 1.0		AHRENS	90 CNTR	ν_μ e elastic scat.
-0.3 ± 1.5		⁶ DORENBOS...	89 CHRM	ν_μ e elastic scat.
		⁷ GRIFOLS	89B ASTR	SN 1987A

¹ DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ e scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using CsI(Tl) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on $\bar{\nu}_e$ charge radius.

² Based on analysis of CCFR 98 results. Limit is on $\langle r_V^2 \rangle + \langle r_A^2 \rangle$. The CHARM II and E734 at BNL results are reanalyzed, and weaker bounds on the charge radius squared than previously published are obtained. The NuTeV result is discussed; when tentatively interpreted as ν_μ charge radius it implies $\langle r_V^2 \rangle + \langle r_A^2 \rangle = (4.20 \pm 1.64) \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}^2$.

³ Results of LEP-2 are interpreted as limits on the axial-vector charge radius squared of a Majorana ν_τ . Slightly weaker limits for both vector and axial-vector charge radius squared are obtained for the Dirac case, and somewhat weaker limits are obtained from the analysis of lower energy data (LEP-1.5 and TRISTAN).

⁴ AUERBACH 01 measure ν_e e elastic scattering with LSND detector. The cross section agrees with the Standard Model expectation, including the charge and neutral current interference. The 90% CL applies to the range shown.

⁵ VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e\bar{\nu}$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$ as input.

⁶ Result is obtained from reanalysis given in ALLEN 91, followed by our reduction to obtain 1 σ errors.

⁷ GRIFOLS 89B sets a limit of $\langle r^2 \rangle < 0.2 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2$ for right-handed neutrinos.

REFERENCES FOR Neutrino Properties

MORESCO	12	JCAP 1207 053	M. MoreSCO <i>et al.</i>
RIEMER-SOR...	12	PR D85 081101	S. Riemer-Sorensen <i>et al.</i>
XIA	12	JCAP 1206 010	J.-Q. Xia <i>et al.</i>
ASEEV	11	PR D84 112003	V.N. Aseev <i>et al.</i>
CECCINNI	11	ASP 34 486	S. Cecchini <i>et al.</i>
SAITO	11	PR D83 043529	S. Saito, M. Takada, A. Taruya
BEDA	10	PPNL 7 406	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>
DENIZ	10	PR D81 072001	M. Deniz <i>et al.</i>
HANNESTAD	10	JCAP 1008 001	S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i>
PAGLIAROLI	10	ASP 33 287	G. Pagliaroli, F. Rossi-Torres, E. Vissani
SEKIGUCHI	10	JCAP 1003 015	T. Sekiguchi <i>et al.</i>
THOMAS	10	PRL 105 031301	S.A. Thomas, F.B. Abdalla, O. Lahav
ICHIKI	09	PR D79 023520	K. Ichiki, M. Takada, T. Takahashi
KOMATSU	09	APJS 180 330	E. Komatsu <i>et al.</i>
KUZNETSOV	09	IJMP A24 5977	A.V. Kuznetsov, N.V. Mikheev, A.A. Okruglin
TERENO	09	AA 500 657	I. Tereno <i>et al.</i>
VIKHILININ	09	APJ 692 1060	A. Vikhlinin <i>et al.</i>
ARPESELLA	08A	PRL 101 091302	C. Arpesella <i>et al.</i>
BERNARDIS	08	PR D78 083535	F. De Bernardis <i>et al.</i>
BEDA	07	PAN 70 1873	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>

Translated from YAF 70 1925.

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=GO

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S066MGM;LINKAGE=K

NODE=S066CRD

NODE=S066CRD

NODE=S066CRD

OCCUR=2

NODE=S066CRD;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=S066CRD;LINKAGE=HI

NODE=S066CRD;LINKAGE=HR

NODE=S066CRD;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=S066CRD;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S066CRD;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S066CRD;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S066

REFID=54452

REFID=54491

REFID=54451

REFID=53995

REFID=53624

REFID=16487

REFID=53450

REFID=53393

REFID=53511

REFID=53375

REFID=53682

REFID=53343

REFID=52792

REFID=53168

REFID=53191

REFID=53167

REFID=53166

REFID=52447

REFID=52558

REFID=52473

FOGLI	07	PR D75 053001	G.L. Fogli <i>et al.</i>	REFID=51781		
GNINENKO	07	PR D75 075014	S.N. Gninenko, N.V. Krasnikov, A. Rubbia	REFID=51787		
KRISTIANSEN	07	PR D75 083510	J. Kristiansen, O. Elgaroy, H. Dahle	REFID=52682		
MIRIZZI	07	PR D76 053007	A. Mirizzi, D. Montanino, P.D. Serpico	REFID=51976		
SPERGEL	07	APJS 170 377	D.N. Spergel <i>et al.</i>	REFID=52136		
WONG	07	PR D75 012001	H.T. Wong <i>et al.</i>	REFID=51592		
ZUNKEL	07	JCAP 0708 004	(TEXONO Collab.)	REFID=52139		
CIRELLI	06	JCAP 0612 013	C. Zunkel, P. Ferreira	REFID=51612		
FUKUGITA	06	PR D74 027302	M. Cirelli <i>et al.</i>	REFID=51315		
GOOBAR	06	JCAP 0606 019	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i>	REFID=52137		
HANNESTAD	06	JCAP 0611 016	A. Goobar <i>et al.</i>	REFID=51611		
KRISTIANSEN	06	PR D74 123005	S. Hannestad, G. Raffelt	REFID=51602		
SANCHEZ	06	MNRAS 366 189	J. Kristiansen, O. Elgaroy, H. Eriksen	REFID=52138		
SELJAK	06	JCAP 0610 014	A.G. Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	REFID=51613		
DARAKTCH...	05	PL B615 153	U. Seljak, A. Slosar, P. McDonald	REFID=50607		
ICHIKAWA	05	PR D71 043001	Z. Daraktchieva <i>et al.</i>	(MUNU Collab.)	REFID=50719	
KRAUS	05	EPJ C40 447	K. Ichikawa, M. Fukugita, M. Kawasaki	(ICRR)	REFID=50675	
XIN	05	PR D72 012006	Ch. Kraus <i>et al.</i>	REFID=51657		
AHARMIM	04	PR D70 093014	B. Xin <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO Collab.)	REFID=50417	
BARGER	04	PL B595 55	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.)	REFID=49978	
CECCINI	04	ASP 21 183	V. Barger, D. Marfatia, A. Tregre	REFID=50018		
CROTTY	04	PR D69 123007	S. Cecchini <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA+)	REFID=50042	
EGUCHI	04	PRL 92 071301	P. Crotty, J. Lesgourgues, S. Pastor	REFID=49868		
GRIFOLS	04	PL B587 184	K. Eguchi <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND Collab.)	REFID=49894	
LIU	04	PRC 93 021802	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso, S. Mohanty	(BARC, AHMED)	REFID=49800	
ARNABOLDI	03A	PRL 91 161802	D.W. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)	REFID=50057	
BACK	03B	PL B563 35	C. Arnaboldi <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49449		
BANDYOPA...	03	PL B555 33	H.O. Back <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)	REFID=49287	
BERNABEU	03	hep-ph/0303202	A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami	(SAHA+)	REFID=49248	
DARAKTCH...	03	PL B564 190	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	Z. Daraktchieva <i>et al.</i>	(MUNU Collab.)	REFID=49453
FUJIKAWA	03	hep-ph/0303188	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	REFID=49249		
HIRSCH	03	PR D67 033005	M. Hirsch <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49294		
LI	03B	PRL 90 131802	H.B. Li <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO Collab.)	REFID=49195	
SPERGEL	03	APJS 148 175	D.N. Spergel <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49530		
BERNABEU	02	PRL 89 101802	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	REFID=49246		
Also		PRL 89 229902 (errat)	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	REFID=49247		
DERBIN	02B	JETPL 76 409	A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov	REFID=49120		
GRIMUS	02	Translated from ZETFP 76 483.	W. Grimus <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49128		
JOSHIPURA	02B	NP B648 376	A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty	REFID=49134		
LEWIS	02	PR D66 113008	A. Lewis, S. Bridle	REFID=49075		
LOREDO	02	PR D66 103511	T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb	REFID=48735		
WANG	02	PR D65 063002	X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga	REFID=49163		
AUERBACH	01	PR D63 112001	L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)	REFID=48153	
SCHWIENHO...	01	PL B513 23	R. Schwienhorst <i>et al.</i>	(DONUT Collab.)	REFID=48188	
ATHANAS	00	PR D61 052002	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=47467	
BERNABEU	00	PR D62 113012	J. Bernabeu <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49245		
FUKUGITA	00	PRL 84 1082	M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama	REFID=47572		
TANIMOTO	00	PL B478 1	N. Tanimoto <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47604		
AYALA	99	PR D59 111901	A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres	REFID=47020		
BEACOM	99	PRL 83 5222	J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel	REFID=47324		
CROFT	99	PRL 83 1092	R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave	REFID=47038		
DOLGOV	99	NP B548 385	A.D. Dolgov <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47498		
LOBASHEV	99	PL B460 227	V.M. Lobashev <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47059		
RAFFELT	99	PRPPL 320 319	G.G. Raffelt	REFID=47299		
WEINHEIMER	99	PL B460 219	Ch. Weinheimer <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47058		
ACKERSTAFF	98T	EPJ C5 229	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)	REFID=46154	
AMMAR	98	PL B431 209	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46068	
BARATE	98F	EPJ C2 395	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=45964	
BILLER	98	PRL 80 2992	S.D. Biller <i>et al.</i>	(WHIPPLE Collab.)	REFID=46087	
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins	REFID=46776		
LENZ	98	PL B416 50	S. Lenz <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45832		
ABREU	97J	ZPHY C74 577	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)	REFID=45491	
ACCIARRI	97Q	PL B412 201	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)	REFID=45738	
ANASTASSOV	97	PR D55 2559	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=45273	
Also		PR D58 119903 (erratum)	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=46530	
ELMFORS	97	NP B503 3	P. Elmforss <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45665		
ESCRIBANO	97	PL B395 369	R. Escribano, E. Masso	(BARC, PARIT)	REFID=45261	
FIELDS	97	ASP 6 169	B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive	(NDAM+)	REFID=45409	
SWAIN	97	PR D55 R1	J. Swain, L. Taylor	(NEAS)	REFID=45262	
ALEXANDER	96M	ZPHY C72 231	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)	REFID=45218	
ASSAMAGAN	96	PR D53 6065	K.A. Assamagan <i>et al.</i>	(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)	REFID=44719	
BAI	96	PR D53 20	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)	REFID=44698	
BOTTINO	96	PR D53 6361	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44788		
DOLGOV	96	PL B383 193	A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle	(IFIC, VALE)	REFID=44855	
HANNESTAD	96	PRL 76 2848	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)	REFID=44799	
HANNESTAD	96B	PRL 77 5148 (erratum)	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)	REFID=44975	
HANNESTAD	96C	PR D54 7894	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)	REFID=45269	
SOBIE	96	ZPHY C70 383	R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson	(VICT)	REFID=44821	
BELESEV	95	PL B350 263	A.I. Beleshev <i>et al.</i>	(INRM, KIAE)	REFID=44271	
BUSKULIC	95H	PL B349 585	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)	REFID=44268	
CHING	95	IJMP A10 2841	C.R. Ching <i>et al.</i>	(CST, BEIJT, CIAE)	REFID=44335	
DOLGOV	95	PR D51 4129	A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein	(MICH+)	REFID=44223	
HIDDEMANN	95	JPG 21 639	K.H. Hidemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker	(MUNT)	REFID=44250	
KERNAN	95	NP B437 243	P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss	(CASE)	REFID=44110	
SIGL	95	PR D51 1499	G. Sigl, M.S. Turner	(FNAL, EFI)	REFID=44145	
STOEFL	95	PRL 75 3237	W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman	(LLNL)	REFID=44593	
VILAIN	95B	PL B345 115	P. Vilain <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM II Collab.)	REFID=44128	
ASSAMAGAN	94	PL B335 231	K.A. Assamagan <i>et al.</i>	(PSI, ZURI, VILL+)	REFID=43832	
BABU	94	PL B321 140	K.S. Babu, T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein	(BART+)	REFID=43884	
DODELSON	94	PR D49 5068	S. Dodelson, G. Gyuk, M.S. Turner	(FNAL, CHIC+)	REFID=44027	
GOULD	94	PL B333 545	T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein	(JHU, MICH)	REFID=43954	
JECKELMANN	94	PL B335 326	B. Jeckelmann, P.F.A. Goudsmit, H.J. Leis	(WABRN+)	REFID=43827	
KAWASAKI	94	NP B419 105	M. Kawasaki <i>et al.</i>	(OSU)	REFID=43848	
PERES	94	PR D50 513	O.L.G. Peres, V. Pleitez, R. Zukanovich Funchal	REFID=45965		
YASUMI	94	PL B334 229	S. Yasumi <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, TSUK, KYOT+)	REFID=43956	
ALLEN	93	PRL D47 11	R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i>	(UCI, LANL, ANL+)	REFID=43095	
BAEST	93	PRL D47 R3671	R. Balest <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43373	
CINABRO	93	PRL 70 3700	D. Cinabro <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)	REFID=43361	
DERBIN	93	JETPL 57 768	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)	REFID=43487	

Translated from ZETFP 57 755.

DOLGOV	93	PRL 71 476	A.D. Dolgov, I.Z. Rothstein	(MICH)	REFID=43451
ENQVIEST	93	PL B301 376	K. Enqvist, H. Uibo	(NORD)	REFID=43288
SUN	93	CJNP 15 261	H.C. Sun <i>et al.</i>	(CIAE, CST, BEIJT)	REFID=44531
WEINHEIMER	93	PL B300 210	C. Weinheimer <i>et al.</i>	(MANZ)	REFID=43233
ALBRECHT	92M	PL B292 221	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=42211
BLUDMAN	92	PR D45 4720	S.A. Bludman	(CFFA)	REFID=42072
COOPER....	92	PL B280 153	A.M. Cooper-Sarkar <i>et al.</i>	(BEBC WA66 Collab.)	REFID=42022
DODELSON	92	PRL 68 2572	S. Dodelson, J.A. Frieman, M.S. Turner	(FNAL+)	REFID=41970
HOLZSCHUH	92B	PL B287 381	E. Holzschuh, M. Fritschi, W. Kundig	(ZUR)	REFID=42129
KAWANO	92	PL B275 487	L.H. Kawano <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSD, LLL+)	REFID=42048
MOURAO	92	PL B285 364	A.M. Mourao, J. Pulido, J.P. Ralston	(LISB, LISBT+)	REFID=42118
PDG	92	PR D45 S1	K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, LBL, BOST+)	REFID=41900
VIDYAKIN	92	JETPL 55 206	G.S. Vidyakin <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)	REFID=41937
Translated from ZETFP 55 212.					
ALLEN	91	PR D43 R1	R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i>	(UCI, LANL, UMD)	REFID=41388
DAVIDSON	91	PR D43 2314	S. Davidson, B.A. Campbell, D. Bailey	(ALBE+)	REFID=41468
DESHPANDE	91	PR D43 943	N.G. Deshpande, K.V.L. Sarma	(OREG, TATA)	REFID=41440
DORENBOS...	91	ZPHY C51 142 (erratum)	J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)	REFID=41545
FULLER	91	PR D43 3136	G.M. Fuller, R.A. Malaney	(UCSD)	REFID=41726
GRANEK	91	IJMP A6 2387	H. Granek, B.H.J. McKellar	(MELB)	REFID=41785
KAWAKAMI	91	PL B256 105	H. Kawakami <i>et al.</i>	(INUS, TOHOK, TINT+)	REFID=41445
KOLB	91	PRL 67 533	E.W. Kolb <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, CHIC)	REFID=41554
KRAKAUER	91	PR D44 R6	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)	REFID=41518
LAM	91	PR D44 3345	W.P. Lam, K.W. Ng	(AST)	REFID=41730
ROBERTSON	91	PRL 67 957	R.G.H. Robertson <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, LLL)	REFID=41560
AHRENS	90	PR D41 3297	L.A. Ahrens <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BROW, HIRO+)	REFID=41254
AVIGNONE	90	PR D41 682	F.T. Avignone, J.I. Collar	(SCUC)	REFID=41237
KRAKAUER	90	PL B252 177	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)	REFID=41424
RAFFELT	90	PRL 64 2856	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)	REFID=41181
WALKER	90	PR D41 689	T.P. Walker	(HARV)	REFID=41149
CHUPP	89	PRL 62 505	E.L. Chupp, W.T. Vestrand, C. Reppin	(UNH, MPIM)	REFID=40627
DORENBOS...	89	ZPHY C41 567	J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)	REFID=41055
GRIFOLS	89B	PR D40 3819	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso	(BARC)	REFID=41236
KOLB	89	PRL 62 509	E.W. Kolb, M.S. Turner	(CHIC, FNAL)	REFID=40628
LOREDO	89	ANYAS 571 601	T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb	(CHIC)	REFID=41890
RAFFELT	89	PR D39 2066	G.G. Raffelt	(PRIN, UCB)	REFID=41144
RAFFELT	89B	APJ 336 61	G. Raffelt, D. Dearborn, J. Silk	(UCB, LLL)	REFID=41151
ALBRECHT	88B	PL B202 149	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=40506
BARBIERI	88	PRL 61 27	R. Barbieri, R.N. Mohapatra	(PISA, UMD)	REFID=40610
BORIS	88	PRL 61 245 (erratum)	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)	REFID=40611
FUKUGITA	88	PRL 60 879	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i>	(KYOTU, MPIM, UCB)	REFID=41152
GROTCHE	88	ZPHY C39 553	H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett	(PSU)	REFID=41136
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn	(UCB, LLL)	REFID=40663
SPERGEL	88	PL B200 366	D.N. Spergel, J.N. Bahcall	(IAS)	REFID=40632
VONFEILIT...	88	PL B200 580	F. von Feilitzsch, L. Oberauer	(MUNT)	REFID=40633
BARBIELLINI	87	NAT 329 21	G. Barbierini, G. Cocconi	(CERN)	REFID=41135
BORIS	87	PRL 58 2019	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)	REFID=40239
Also		PRL 61 245 (erratum)	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)	REFID=40611
BORIS	87B	JETPL 45 333	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=40507
Translated from ZETFP 45 267.					
FUKUGITA	87	PR D36 3817	M. Fukugita, S. Yazaki	(KYOTU, TOKY)	REFID=40477
NUSSINOV	87	PR D36 2278	S. Nussinov, Y. Rephaeli	(TEL)	REFID=40749
OBERAUER	87	PL B198 113	L.F. Oberauer, F. von Feilitzsch, R.L. Mossbauer		REFID=40499;ERROR=1
SPRINGER	87	PR A35 679	P.T. Springer <i>et al.</i>	(LLNL)	REFID=41836
KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	S.N. Ketov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)	REFID=40176
Translated from ZETFP 44 114.					
COWSIK	85	PL 151B 62	R. Cowsik	(TATA)	REFID=10536
RAFFELT	85	PR D31 3002	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)	REFID=41150
BINETRUY	84	PL 134B 174	P. Binetruy, G. Girardi, P. Salati	(LAPP)	REFID=10529
FRESE	84	NP B233 167	K. Freese, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC, FNAL)	REFID=10530
KYULDJIEV	84	NP B243 387	A.V. Kyuldjiev	(SOFI)	REFID=40476
SCHRAMM	84	PL 141B 337	D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman	(FNAL, BART)	REFID=10533
VOGEL	84	PR D30 1505	P. Vogel		REFID=45952
ANDERHUB	82	PL 114B 76	H.B. Anderhub <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN)	REFID=10138
OLIVE	82	PR D25 213	K.A. Olive, M.S. Turner	(CHIC, UCSB)	REFID=10520
BERNSTEIN	81	PL 101B 39	J. Bernstein, G. Feinberg	(STEV, COLU)	REFID=10506
FRANK	81	PR D24 2001	J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, YALE, MIT+)	REFID=10137
MORGAN	81	PL 102B 247	J.A. Morgan	(SUSS)	REFID=43732
FUJIKAWA	80	PRL 45 963	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	(STON)	REFID=40748
LUBIMOV	80	PL 94B 266	V.A. Lyubimov <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)	REFID=10068
STECKER	80	PRL 45 1460	F.W. Stecker	(NASA)	REFID=10502
COWSIK	79	PR D19 2219	R. Cowsik	(TATA)	REFID=10493
GOLDMAN	79	PR D19 2215	T. Goldman, G.J. Stephenson	(LASL)	REFID=10494
BEG	78	PR D17 1395	M.A.B. Beg, W.J. Mariano, M. Ruderman	(ROCK+)	REFID=40473
BLIETSCHAU	78	NP B133 205	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)	REFID=10131
FALK	78	PL 79B 511	S.W. Falk, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC)	REFID=10490
BARNES	77	PRL 38 1049	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, ANL)	REFID=10130
COWSIK	77	PRL 39 784	R. Cowsik	(MPIM, TATA)	REFID=10480
LEE	77C	PR D16 1444	B.W. Lee, R.E. Shrock	(STON)	REFID=43654
VYSOTSKY	77	JETPL 26 188	M.I. Vyotsky, A.D. Dolgov, Y.B. Zeldovich	(ITEP)	REFID=10487
Translated from ZETFP 26 200.					
BELLOTTI	76	LNC 17 553	E. Bellotti <i>et al.</i>	(MILA)	REFID=10129
SUTHERLAND	76	PR D13 2700	P. Sutherland <i>et al.</i>	(PENN, COLU, NYU)	REFID=10478
SZALAY	76	AA 49 437	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)	REFID=10479
CLARK	74	PR D9 533	A.R. Clark <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)	REFID=10062
KIM	74	PR D9 3050	J.E. Kim, V.S. Mathur, S. Okubo	(ROCH)	REFID=10127
REINES	74	PRL 32 180	F. Reines, H.W. Sobel, H.S. Gurr	(UCI)	REFID=10063
SZALAY	74	APHA 35 8	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)	REFID=10477
COWSIK	72	PRL 29 669	R. Cowsik, J. McClelland	(UCB)	REFID=10475
MARK	72	Nu Conf. Budapest	G. Marx, A.S. Szalay	(EOTV)	REFID=10476
GERSHSTEIN	66	JETPL 4 120	S.S. Gershtein, Y.B. Zeldovich	(KIAM)	REFID=10473
Translated from ZETFP 4 189.					
BERNSTEIN	63	PR 132 1227	J. Bernstein, M. Ruderman, G. Feinberg	(NYU+)	REFID=10055
COWAN	57	PR 107 528	C.L. Cowan, F. Reines	(LANL)	REFID=40474